

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/909,837	MONFORTE, JOSEPH A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Marjorie A. Moran	1631	

All Participants:

(1) Marjorie A. Moran.

(2) Gary Baker.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All pending

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that the Restriction requirement mailed 8/13/03 required an election of a broad scanning technique. The specification discloses that broad scanning techniques are used to measure concentrations of gene products, therefore the election of "concentrations of gene products" is not an election of a broad scanning technique. In order to expedite prosecution, the examiner asked for clarification of the election. Applicant elected "microarray analysis" from among those recited in claim 23.